ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ, ಕಾರವಾರರವರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ

| | | 2000 |
|-------------|--|------|
| 10 100 | No. RB/LAQ/NH-66/ARB/CR-208/16-17 | VEE |
| 25.00 | Shri Madhukar Babu Gunagi, | 3191 |
| | R/o Binaga Taluk: Karwar | |
| | 2. Shri Nanda Babu Gunagi | |
| | R/o Binaga Taluk: Karwar | |
| | G.P.A Holder Madhukar Babu Gunagi | |
| | | |
| | Applicant | |
| | Competent Authority and Assistant Commissioner, Karwar | |
| | A. C Office Karwar | |
| | 2. Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, | |
| | Project Implementation Unit, Door No. 3-29, | |
| | Bethel, Tharethota Near Pumpwell, Mangalore-575005 | |
| | Respondents | |
| , ದಿನಾಂಕ | ಸ್ವಾಯಾಲಯದ ನಡುವಳಿಕೆ | |
| | | |
| | | |
| SL 1 2 | D , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| 18/6/18 | Pride about. | |
| | V. L. L. D. D. D. Av. | |
| | R and Vakalat filed by KST all ! | |
| | V () | |
| | R2 2000 Vakalat filed by RSP adv. Call on 9/7/18 for oly. | |
| | Call on 4 11's for 7 | |
| | | |
| y | | |
| | (8)4. | |
| | | |
| 9/3/18 | Pales. | |
| .1, | Radorfiles obj. | |
| | Kingtes ouj | |
| | | |
| | Call on 30/9/18 for way I if any | |
| | Call on solf of for the | |
| 4 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 3/9/18 | c.c. Side absect Dala | |
| -1.1 | | |
| VS - 19 | D b-cost | |
| | adv | |
| | C.C. Pside absent Days R2 present. | |
| | Call finally on 22/10/18 | |
| | can for the | |
| | | |
| | | |

Padu absent. Abready multiple opp 22/10/18 provided hence taken as no WA. Rade present. Pleade Obj to be token as WA. Case PO.

The petition and respondent's objection have been perused. Petitioner has claimed that the compensation paid to them of Rs 1799820/- is very low and hence petitioner has claimed for enhanced compensation.

Respondent advocate has stated that CALA has rightly fixed land valuation, and claim for capitalization method of determination of market value of structure including damages etc does not arise as it is not contemplated by section 3G of the Act. Further the valuation of structures as well as trees have been done by authorised valuators as per PWD SSR and rates fixed by concerned State Government Departments and hence enhancement is not acceptable. Respondents have also claimed that valuation provided by sub-registrar have been considered and are correct with respect to land and hence no enhancement is payable.

It is observed that the respondent's mere claim for enhanced compensation cannot be ground for enhancement. Further no have been produced to substantiate claim for enhanced compensation for land, structures nor for trees and since CALA has considered valuation based on authorised valuators only as well as sub registrar statistics, there arises no cause of action for enhanced compensation. Hence, I proceed to order as below:

Order

Petition for enhanced compensation is hereby rejected.

Deputy Commissioner, Uttara Kannada and Arbitrator.